

From: [Pat Deibert](#)
To: [Matt Kales](#)
Subject: Fwd: Idaho?
Date: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 3:41:50 PM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Pat Deibert <pat_deibert@fws.gov>
Date: January 13, 2015 at 3:38:16 PM MST
To: Noreen Walsh <noreen_walsh@fws.gov>
Cc: Ren Lohofener <ren_lohofener@fws.gov>, Richard Hannan <richard_hannan@fws.gov>, Mary Grim <mary_grim@fws.gov>, Theresa Rabot <theresa_rabot@fws.gov>
Subject: Re: Idaho?

I don't know the specifics for ID but we spent months working on a monitoring strategy with BLM and fs. It was completed last October with the intent that it would be used in all the plans. I am confused why that is not the template.

P

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 13, 2015, at 3:34 PM, Noreen Walsh <noreen_walsh@fws.gov> wrote:

Well, that is curious to me. Looping in Terry and Pat.

From: Lohofener, Ren [mailto:ren_lohofener@fws.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 13, 2015 3:26 PM
To: Richard Hannan; Noreen Walsh
Cc: Grim, Mary
Subject: Idaho?

Sarah Greenberger has evidently told BLM that we should look to Idaho for a method of calculating the disturbance cap.

Is this different from what we are doing or other states - except WY - are doing?

thanks!
Ren